

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP-6882-2023

Date of decision: - 10.04.2023

Surinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Gaganvir Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate writ especially in the nature of mandamus for issuing the time bound directions to respondent No.1 to decide the pending petition i.e. Case No.12/REM/HC/CCS/2008 titled as 'Inder Singh Vs. Kartar Singh and others', before respondent No.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the limited prayer which is made by the petitioner in the present writ petition is for expeditious decision of the above-said case. It is further submitted that the application dated 01.06.2013 moved by the petitioner for restoration of the case proceedings in view of the order passed by the

High Court was allowed and yet thereafter also, the matter has still not been decided finally by respondent No.1.

Learned State counsel has submitted that every endeavour would be made by respondent No.1 to decide the said case as expeditiously as possible.

Keeping in view above-said facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the Case No.12/REM/HC/CCS/2008 titled as 'Inder Singh Vs. Kartar Singh and others' as expeditiously as possible.

It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.1 would decide the said case independently, in accordance with law.

April 10, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No