

226 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16013-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.04.2023

UVESH

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG Haryana.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in the case bearing FIR No. 510 dated 27.08.2022 registered under Section 420/465/468/471 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Industrial Sector 29, Panipat, District Panipat.

Learned State counsel has filed custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record.

Contents of the FIR are that firstly petitioner has gone to agency for purchasing of motorcycle by giving his Aadhar Card and Rs.12,000/- cash. That on 27.08.2022, again petitioner Uvesh with one boy Arshad had gone to agency for purchasing of motorcycle on the basis of his fake Adhar Card details.

The allegation of the petitioner is that he tried to purchase the motor-cycle by giving his fake Adhaar Card details and after the arrest of the petitioner, the said motorcycle and Adhaar Card have already been recovered from the petitioner and he is in custody since 31.08.2022, where investigation of the case is complete and challan already stands presented.

On the other hand, learned State Counsel has opposed the aforesaid prayer, however, he does not dispute that investigation of the case is complete and the challan stands presented.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the case file and custody certificate filed by learned State counsel, it is significant to note that the petitioner is in custody for a period of 07 months and 11 days. Investigation of the case has been completed and challan stands presented. Further, conclusion of the trial will take considerable time. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further incarceration.

As such, sufficient mitigating circumstances are made out to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner. Therefore, without making any expression of opinion on the merits of the case, it is ordered that the petitioner be released on regular bail subject to her furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

The petition is allowed.

12.04.2023
shruti

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No