

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.115

CRM-M-16259-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 29.03.2023

GURPREET KAUR

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges that her husband is residing in Portugal. Some criminal complaint against him has been registered which is being investigated by the police. The petitioner is being called time and again with reference to the said complaint and since, she has nothing to do with the same, it is resulting in unnecessary harassment. Thus, the official respondents be directed not to unnecessarily harass the petitioner.

A perusal of the petition does not show that the petitioner has responded to the notices sent by the police even once. If, a criminal complaint has been registered against the husband of the petitioner, the police is entitled to investigate the same, for which purpose, the petitioner can also be questioned. The petition does not show that she has appeared before the police officials even once and thus, asking for directions is not justified.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file

a fresh one, in case, the petitioner is still harassed even after, she has appeared before the police officials pursuant to notices issued by them.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

29.03.2023
Ramandeep Singh

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No