

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(119)

CWP-6918-2023

Date of decision: - 10.04.2023

Pushpa Devi and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Gorav Kathuria, Advocate
for Mr. Pratula Sethi, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 and 2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing of the order dated 21.02.2023 (Annexure P-12) passed by respondent No.3 whereby respondent No.3 has rejected the claim of the petitioners.

Learned State counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 has pointed out that under the policy, as per Clause 8, sub-clause (b), any person who is aggrieved by any order passed by the Competent Authority has a right of appeal before the Director, Urban Local Bodies or any other authority authorized by him. It has been stated that the petitioners have not availed the alternative remedy available to them and in para No.14 of the present writ petition, it has mentioned that

they have no adequate or efficacious remedy available.

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to seek alternative remedy, in accordance with law.

In view of the above statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

April 10, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No