

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2796-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.12.2023

SOHAN SINGH AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Puran Singh Hundal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Harmanpreet Singh, Advocate &
Mr. Gursahib S. Hundal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate for respondent No.2.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for respondent No.2 submits that respondent No.2 has
unfortunately expired during the pendency of the present petition and thus
natural consequences thereof would follow as contemplated under the
Code.

- 2. Mr. Hundal does not dispute the aforesaid submission.
- 3. In view of above, the present petition is dismissed as having
been rendered infructuous.

December 12, 2023 (Pankaj Jain)
Dpr Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No