

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.15837 of 2023
Date of decision: 28th March, 2023

Jeon Singh
... Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab
... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.101 dated 24.10.2021 under Section 21(b), 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Lambra, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was neither named in the FIR nor any recovery effected from him. He has been nominated as an accused on the basis of the disclosure statement of co-accused Salinder Kumar, from whom the alleged recovery of 40 grams of Heroin was effected. Learned counsel submits that the evidentiary value of such disclosure statement is admittedly of a weak nature, hence, the petitioner be admitted to anticipatory bail.

On a pointed query put to the learned counsel for the petitioner qua the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, he has submitted that the petitioner is involved in six other criminal cases under the NDPS Act, though in those cases also he has been falsely implicated.

I have heard the learned counsel and perused the relevant material on record.

Admittedly, the petitioner is facing trial in five other criminal cases under the NDPS Act, whereas in one case under the NDPS Act he already stands convicted. This Court, keeping in view the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, is not inclined to extend the extraordinary concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 28, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No