

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.116

CRM-M-16307-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 21.04.2023

Satish Goyal Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Vide impugned order dated 03.03.2023, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad has suspended the sentence of the petitioner subject to deposit of 25% of the amount of compensation awarded by the trial Court within two months from the date of the order.

The petitioner has been convicted under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. Appeal has been filed against the judgment of conviction and order of sentence and the aforementioned order has been passed therein.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that minimum of 20% of the amount of compensation can be ordered to be deposited as per the statute. Thus, order may be modified and the amount ordered to be deposited may be reduced to 20%.

The submission is mis-conceived. No reason has been put-forth in support of the submission and thus, the same is not entitled to be accepted.

The petition has no merit and is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

21.04.2023
Ramandeep Singh