

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16321 of 2023

Date of Decision: April 10, 2023

Sunil Kumar @ Sunil Chauhan ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that house of the petitioner was situated in the revenue estate, whereas the property of respondent No.5 is situated in the Lal Dora of the village. However, in the garb of the order dated 23.01.2023 passed by this High Court (co-ordinate Bench) in CR No.1714 of 2021 (O&M), the official respondents have demolished his house situated in the revenue estate. Petitioner has made a complaint (Annexure P.6) to take action against respondents No.3 and 4 but no action has been taken so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also pointed out that he has already moved an application for recalling the order dated 23.01.2023 by moving CM No.4594-CII of 2023 in CR No.1714 of 2021 wherein notice of the application has been issued vide order dated 16.03.2023 and further demolition has been stayed.

Notice of motion

Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State.

This petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P.6) made by the petitioner in accordance with law. It is desired that the said representation be decided within a period of two months. If necessary, he will be at liberty to get the land of the petitioner and that of respondent No.5 demarcated through some revenue official.

April 10, 2023

renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No