

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(310)**CRM-M-17511-2021****Date of Decision: 09.03.2023**

Angrej Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CRM-M-19612-2021

Pardeep Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. N. S. Dandiwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-19612-2021 and
for the respondents No.2 and 3 in CRM-M-17511 of 2021.

Mr. Amit Shukla, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. K. S. Saini, Advocate, for the
respondent No.2 in CRM-M-19612 of 2021 &
for the petitioners in CRM-M-17511 of 2021

HARKESH MANUJA, J.(ORAL)

By way of present petitions filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., petitioners in both the petitions pray for quashing of DDR No.32, 17.03.2021 under Section 323, 341 and 34 IPC (cross version) as well as FIR No.215 dated 01.12.2020, registered under Sections 307, 324, 325, 326, 323, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Nurpur Bedi, District Ropar (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising out of the same on the basis of compromise dated 15.04.2023 (Annexure P-3).

2. Though the FIR in question pertains to Sections 307, 324, 325,

petitioners are young students and the incident occurred on the spur of the moment while they were attending the marriage function, in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, wherein, petitioners and the private respondents happen to be friends belonging to the same locality and being neighbours and the majority have no past criminal antecedents, with an intention to bury their differences, keeping in view their futures, have entered into a settlement, the present petition is being entertained.

3. In pursuance to an order dated 12.01.2023 passed by this Court, whereby the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court for getting their statements recorded as regards, the veracity of compromise arrived at between them, report dated 01.02.2023 has been received from the concerned court, stating that the compromise in the present case is valid, genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or under influence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that once, the compromise has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and complainants in both the cases have no objection as regards quashing of FIR as well as other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same against the petitioners, the dispute being purely personal in nature, there does not appear to be any impediment as regards quashing of present FIR. He further submits that in order to maintain peace and harmony between the parties, particularly under the circumstances wherein the alleged offences have no societal interest involved, it would be appropriate to render complete quietus to the aforementioned dispute by quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

5. On the other hand learned State counsel submits that injuries have been inflicted upon the person of victim in both the cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, they having settled their dispute so as to live peacefully in future, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding further with the criminal proceedings. In the light of above developments, no cause remains for the trial Court to invest further time and effort in adjudicating this FIR. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

7. Thus in view of the aforesaid report, accompanied by statements of both the parties as well as keeping in mind the law laid down in the aforementioned judgment, of DDR No.32, 17.03.2021 under Section 323, 341 and 34 IPC (**cross version**) as well as FIR No.215 dated 01.12.2020, registered under Sections 307, 324, 325, 326, 323, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Nurpur Bedi, District Ropar (Annexure P-1) as well as all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. The aforesaid order shall however, be subject to payment costs of Rs.20,000/- (in CRM-M-19612 of 20221) and Rs.40,000/- (in CRM-M-17511 of 2021) to be deposited by the petitioners in both cases with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Association Lawyer's Family Welfare Fund having Account No.41564846387 with State Bank of India, High Court Branch, Chandigarh, within a period of two weeks from today.

Petition stands disposed of

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

09.03.2023
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No