

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(265)

CWP-8344-2022

Date of decision: - 06.12.2023

Usha Rani

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Govind Mor, Advocate, for
Mr. Parmod Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing the Final Result dated 31.03.2022 (Annexure P-6) for the post of Female Constables for HAP Durga-1. Further, a prayer has been made for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the affidavit of the petitioner dated 03.01.2022 (Annexure P-5) verified by the Executive Magistrate and grant 05 marks of socio-economic criteria as per advertisement.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a detailed representation dated 01.04.2022 (Annexure P-7) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case competent authority of respondent

No.2 considers the same in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has submitted that competent authority of respondent No.2 would consider the said representation dated 01.04.2022 (Annexure P-7), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of four weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to competent authority of respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 01.04.2022 (Annexure P-7) filed by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case competent authority of respondent No.2 is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case, competent authority of respondent No.2 is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of four weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and competent authority of respondent No.2 would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

December 06, 2023

naresh.k

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No