

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(108)

CWP No.6617 of 2023

Date of Decision : 16.08.2023

Ajeet Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Sangita Dhanda, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed challenging the order by which, the services of the petitioners, who were working as Drivers, have been dispensed with.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though the petitioners were working on contractual basis and were being paid salary but as per the instructions of the Government of Haryana, the Haryana Kaushal Rojgar Nigam/competent Authority has extended the contract of all the employees, who were now being deputed through the said Nigam whereas, the same benefit has not been extended to the petitioners, which amounts to discrimination.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners intend to approach the respondents-Nigam by filing appropriate representation giving the details of the employees, who were working along with the petitioners, whose contracts have been extended by the Nigam and the respondents be directed to decide the said claim expeditiously so that, the petitioners do not suffer any prejudice.

Learned counsel for the State submits that in case any representation is received from the petitioners raising any grievance or claim that similarly situated employees have already been allowed to continue by Nigam, appropriate order will be passed by the Nigam within a period of four weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case, after the passing of the speaking order, any right accrues to the petitioners for allowing them to rejoin the service, the same will also be extended to them within a further period of two weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may be disposed of as having been not pressed any further with liberty to file appropriate representation.

Ordered accordingly.

August 16, 2023
jt

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No