

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

254

**CRM-M-16775-2022
Date of decision: 23.01.2023**

Shivam

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Gaurav Gaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Sudesh Sahi, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.0148 dated 08.06.2020 lodged under Sections 279, 337, 338, 427 and 188 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 185 and 188 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 registered at Police Station Gate Hakima, Amritsar and the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 22.06.2020 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

Vide order dated 25.04.2022 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within 30 days to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Amritsar, in pursuance to the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the

parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there is no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Amritsar and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

23.01.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No