

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CM-5841-CII-2023 in/&
CR-1980-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision :29.03.2023

Jagiri Ram @ Jagir Chauhan

...Petitioner

Versus

Girish Mehan

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.D. Rattewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner is to reallocate himself so as to find out a suitable place for shifting his business which is the only source of income and is carried out in the premises in question, two months time granted by the trial Court, is not being adhered by the Executing Court and an order dated 15.03.2023 has been passed to break open the lock of the premises in question.

Keeping in view the above, though, the present petition is being disposed of having been not pressed any further but keeping in view the fact that two months time has been granted by the appellate authority to vacate

the premises in question, the Executing Court is directed to look into the said aspect at the time of execution of the decree in question keeping in view the order passed by the Lower Appellate Court dated 04.03.2023 so as to allow the benefit of two months time to retain the premises as ordered by the Appellate authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner will also be free to raise the issue of extension of time for vacating the premises in question beyond the period of two months before the Executing Court in case the respondent-landlord agrees to the same.

March 29, 2023*aarti***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether reportable : Yes/No*