

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

RSA-3105-1996 (O&M)
Date of Order:22.12.2023

The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Haryana

.Appellant

Versus

Smt. Chandro Devi and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Shrenik Jain, Advocate
for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. The learned counsel representing the appellant has informed the Court that the respondent must have paid the amount of family pension to the respondent no.1 as directed by the courts below because no interim order was granted in favour of the appellant while admitting the appeal on 25.02.1997.

2. The dispute in the present case is with respect to the entitlement of respondent, a widow, to the amount of family pension from Provident Fund Commissioner.

3. The learned counsel representing the appellant also does not have information about the current status of the respondent. He further admits that as per the latest instructions the family pension is payable even if the employee had made his contribution only for a period of one month.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussion, at this stage, no ground to interfere is made out.

5. Dismissed.
6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

December 22, 2023
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:YES/NO
:YES/NO