

113 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP-6470-2023
Date of Decision : 27.03.2023

RAMESH (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HIS LR. Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present : Mr. Nitin Kadian, Advocate
 for Mr. Yashveer Kharb, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. The learned Assistant Collector, First Grade, Rohtak, as unfolded by Annexure P-1, has on a petition cast under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961, made a decision thereons, on 10.12.2020, whereby he has allowed the said petition.

2. However, it is stated, at the bar, by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, that an appeal thereagainst has been reared, before the Competent Appellate Authority, and, also an application Annexure P-2, hence for staying the operation of the verdict (supra), has been filed, and, is subjudice before the Competent Appellate Authority. Therefore, in the larger interest of justice, the learned Appellate Authority concerned, is directed to, considering the age of the case, make an expeditious speaking decision thereons, and, may also in accordance with law, if the statutory appeal is likely to consume some time, for a decision

being made thereons, to lawfully consider the staying the operation of the verdict made by the Assistant Collector, First Grade, Rohtak, and, as becomes carried in Annexure P-1.

3. Disposed of alongwith all pending applications, if any.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

27.03.2023

kavneet singh

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No