

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARHSr. No.: 205**Criminal Miscellaneous No.M-16548 of 2022****Date of Decision:** April 10, 2023Hardeep Singh

..... PETITIONER(S)

*VERSUS*State of Punjab

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

. . .

PRESENT: - Mr. J.S. Lalli, Advoate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jashandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

. . .

Tribhuvan Dahiya, J (Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Roop Singh, states that pursuant to the order passed by this Court, dated 07.12.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation but certain dowry articles are yet to be recovered.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that during pendency of the petition, the parties amicably settled the disputes and also filed a mutual divorce petition. However, the complainant-wife did not appear before the Family Court thereafter, and the divorce could not be granted. He states that all the alleged dowry articles in the petitioner's possession have already been handed over to the complainant, and the fact stands recorded in the compromise deed dated 16.09.2022 also, based upon which the mutual divorce petition was also filed.

3. In view thereof, the present petition is allowed. Interim

CRM No.M-16548-2022

[2]

order dated 07.12.2022, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

(Tribhuvan Dahiya)
Judge

April 10, 2023
payal

Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/ No
Yes/ No