

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(204) CWP-5054-2004
Date of Decision : December 04, 2023

Mange Ram and others .. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

(204-A) CWP-6035-2012

Parveen .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioners
in both petitions.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. By this common order, two writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading of the order, are being disposed of as both the petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that in the present petitions, the prayer of the petitioners is that their services be regularized in view of the Policy/decision of the Government of Haryana dated 30.12.1998/25.02.1999, a copy of which has been appended with this petition as Annexure P-5 and the further prayer was not to terminate the services of the petitioners and in case their services are terminated, the

petitioners be reinstated in service during the pendency of the present writ petition.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the present petition was filed in the year 2004 and nothing has come on record as to whether the petitioners are still in service or not, hence, in case any of the petitioner is still in service and his/her services have not been regularized so far, let an appropriate representation be made by those petitioners who are continuing in service so as to claim the benefit of regularization under the Policy dated 30.12.1998/25.02.1999 (Annexure P-5) and in case, any such representation is made by those petitioners, appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

December 04, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No