

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-6331-2023

Date of Decision: 03.05.2023

Baljinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Neha Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents-UOI.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondent No.2 to re-new the passport to the petitioner

2. None has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

3. At the outset, Ms. Neha Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents-Passport Authorities has stated, on instruction, that the passport of the petitioner has already been issued and has also been dispatched to him and therefore, nothing survives in the present petition.

4. In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the Passport Authorities, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

5. Since the learned counsel for the petitioner is not present today, liberty is granted to the petitioner that in case any cause of action still survives,

then an appropriate application can be filed in the present case.

03.05.2023
Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |