

115 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3001-2023

Date of Decision: 28.03.2023

Soni and another

...Petitioners

vs.

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Raman Sihag, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing respondents No.4 to 9 not to interfere in their lives and liberty.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 18.03.2023 (Annexure P-4) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh and he shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation dated 18.03.2023 (Annexure P-4).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Paul, APP for U.T., Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh is

directed to depute a senior officer to look into the matter and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

This order shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the marriage of the parties. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

28.03.2023
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No