

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2943-2023

Date of Decision:11.04.2023

Suban and Anr.

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Mohd. Salim, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed the present petition before this Court with a prayer for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent No.2 to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioners, their family members and also to take action against respondents No.4 to 14 for demolishing residential house of the petitioners.

Upon notice, the reply by way of an affidavit of Superintendent of Police, Nuh, District Nuh has been filed and the same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel submits that a report was sought from the DSP, Firozpur Jhirka with regard to the allegations levelled by the petitioners in the instant case. A detailed enquiry report has been submitted by DSP, Firozpur Jhirka in this regard and a case FIR No.122, dated 02.04.2023, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 427, 454, 380, 506 of IPC has been

registered against 34 named persons including private respondents No.4 to 14 at Police Station, Firozpur Jhirka on the complaint of Subina wife of Mijaj. Learned State counsel further submits that the investigation is being conducted fairly and impartially and final report shall be filed in due course. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Hakumddin also submits that in case the petitioners are still aggrieved, they can submit a representation to the concerned police station, which shall be examined in accordance with law.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel no further orders are required to be passed by this Court and the petition is accordingly disposed off.

11.04.2023
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No