

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

248

CRM-M-15577-2023
Date of decision: 31.05.2023

Rahul and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. A.S. Prajapati, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anshuman Dalal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.336, dated 01.09.2020, lodged under Sections 323, 354-A, 377, 406, 498-A, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Sections 354-A, 377 and 34 IPC deleted in report under Section 173 Cr.P.C) registered at Police Station Sadar Rohtak, District Rohtak and the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise affidavit (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

Vide order dated 28.03.2023 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 26.04.2023 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Rohtak, in pursuance of the directions of this

Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Rohtak and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

31.05.2023
rashmi

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No