

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(104)

CWP-8782-2021

Date of decision : 01.05.2023

Krishan Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. A.B.Bhandari, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to continue in service upto the age of 60 years with all consequential benefits, as the respondents are retiring him w.e.f. 30.04.2021, on attaining the age of 58 years.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the instant petition, petitioner who was working on group D post, has retired on 30.04.2023, on attaining the age of 60 years. He submits that the petition has become infructuous.
3. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

01.05.2023

Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No