

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.15346 of 2023
Date of decision: 3rd October, 2023

Pawan Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Sanjeev K. Panwar, Advocate for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.291 dated 10.09.2022 under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Palwal, District Palwal.

2. On 27.03.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel inter alia contends that the agreement to sell qua the property in question was executed between Dharamvir and the complainant, whereas the petitioner had no role to play except that he was just a witness to the agreement to sell being son of the main accused Dharamvir.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sube Singh, submits that in compliance of the order dated 27.03.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

4. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 27.03.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 3, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No