

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

2023:PHHC:106998

CRM-M-13278-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: August 18th, 2023

Manvendra alias Manvendra Choudhary and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.69 dated 16.02.2020 registered under Sections 120-B, 406, 420 and 506 of the IPC at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad.

Mr. Gaurav Grover, Advocate, has filed power of attorney on behalf of the complainant, which is taken on record.

Vide order dated 29.05.2020, the petitioners had been granted interim anticipatory bail with direction to join investigation and the relevant part of the said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners at the outset submits that co-accused has filed CRM-M-11114-2020. In the said petition, notice of motion has been issued for 22.07.2020 and the petitioner is asked to join investigation”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of order dated 29.05.2020, the petitioners have joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. It has also been submitted by the learned counsel that during the pendency of the instant petition, the parties have effected an amicable settlement, which fact, in turn, has not been disputed by the learned counsel for the complainant.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He on further instructions submits that the petitioners are not required for further investigation much less for their custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 29.05.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioners misuse the concession of bail granted to them, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the same.

August 18th, 2023
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No