

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(210-1)

CWP-7716-2022

Date of decision: - 10.04.2023

Suresh Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr.Vijay Kumar Jindal, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Vijayveer Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jugam Arora, Advocate
for Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the notices (Annexure P-5) issued to the petitioners by respondent No.3 claiming that the land in question belongs to the Police Department, Haryana.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that as per the written statement filed on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3, it has been stated that the notice for removal/demolish of illegal encroachment has been filed by the answering respondents under The Public Premises (Eviction

of Unauthorized Occupants) Act, 1971 and after the decision on the said notice, the appropriate action would be taken against the petitioners and other occupants in light of the order/judgment passed by the prescribed authority i.e. Sub Divisional Magistrate, Mahendergarh by following the due process of law. It is stated that the State authorities will act only in accordance with the order passed by the prescribed authority.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.4-Municipal Committee, Mahendergarh has submitted that in case any action is required to be taken against the petitioners, the same would be done in accordance with law after following the due process of law.

Learned Senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that in view of the said statements of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

The respondents would be bound by the statements made before this Court.

April 10, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No