

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

CRM-M-15483-2022

Date of Decision : 16.05.2023

Abhinav Bansal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present : Mr. Jagat Vir Dhindsa, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Ahluwlia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, D.A.G., Haryana
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Mohak Arora, Advocate
for the complainant.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'the Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.110 dated 06.03.2022 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 341, 506 and 379-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (to which Section 326 of the IPC was added later on) at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

2. Vide order dated 18.04.2022 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court, the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Center of this Court and the arrest of the petitioner was stayed.

CRM-M-15483-2022

2

3. Report dated 20.03.2023 from the Mediation and Conciliation Center of this Court has been received which is taken on record. As per the said report, the parties have amicably settled their dispute.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the record.
5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that the matter has been compromised between the parties, the present petition is allowed and order dated 18.04.2022 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court, whereby the arrest of the petitioner was stayed, is made absolute.
6. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

16.05.2023	(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)	
Kothiyal	JUDGE	
	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	Yes/No