

215 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15002-2023  
DECIDED ON: 29<sup>th</sup> MARCH, 2023

GURPREET SINGH @ GAVY

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Yashpal Thakur, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)**

The jurisdiction of this Court under Section 439 Cr.P.C., has been invoked for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 240, dated 12.12.2022, under Sections 323, 336, 341, 307, 506, 148, 149 and 34 IPC and Sections 25 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Phase-1, SAS Nagar, Mohali.

The assertion of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that it is asserted that no overt act or specific injury has been attributed to the present petitioner except the fact that he raised a lalkara and was accompanying the other co-accused Yashdeep Singh, who has already been granted concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 13.02.2023 passed in CRM-M-6651-2023.

Learned State counsel has produced the custody certificate of the petitioner today in Court, which is taken on record, according to which, the

petitioner is behind the bars for the last 3 months and 11 days and is not involved in any other case of any nature. He opposes the prayer made in the present petition stating that the petitioner has actively participated in the commissioning of offence.

Considering the afore-said facts and circumstances, especially the facts that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner and the co-accused of the petitioner has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court coupled with the fact that challan stands presented wherein conclusion of trial shall take sufficient long time, this Court is of the considered view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars for an indefinite period.

The petitioner is, therefore, ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)  
JUDGE

29<sup>th</sup> MARCH, 2023  
sham

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |