

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

121

CRWP-2971-2023 (O&M)  
Decided on :27.03.2023

**Daya Sharma and another**

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

**State of Haryana and others**

. . . Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

PRESENT: Ms. Prerna Chandna, Advocate for  
Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)**

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 -Daya Sharma, aged about 19 years and petitioner No.2 – Mohit, aged about 22 years, have got married on 16.03.2023 against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 6. It is first marriage of both the petitioners. It has been further submitted that private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of petitioners. Hence, petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated Nil (Annexure P-5), sent through registered post dated 17.03.2023 to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Narnaul, District Mohindergarh, Haryana, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents Nos.1 to 3

**CRWP-2971-2023**  
(State).

**2023:PHHC:044987**  
**- 2 -**

In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 –Superintendent of Police, Narnaul, District Mohindergarh, Haryana, to look into the representation dated Nil (Annexure P-5), sent through registered post dated 17.03.2023, *qua* threat perception and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that lives and liberty of the petitioners, is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

**27.03.2023**  
*Riya*

*Whether speaking/reasoned:*      *Yes/No*  
*Whether Reportable:*              *Yes/No*