

236 2023:PHHC:114963
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13286-2019(O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.09.2023

Gagan Bedi

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Devansh Khanna, Advocate, for
Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Sahaj Punj, Advocate for respondent No.5.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

The State has filed short reply by way of affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police (West), Amritsar and a copy has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab while referring to the affidavit has submitted that on the complaint filed by the petitioner an

inquiry was conducted by the police and the petitioner had stated that earlier he had threat from respondent No.4 and 5 but now he is not apprehending any threat and does not require any security or protection. He further referred to para No.6 wherein it has been so stated that in case still the petitioner has any security threat, then the police is bound to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by providing every possible help, in case it is so required after assessing threat perception.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the affidavit filed by the State, the present petition may be disposed of as having rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as having become infructuous.

01.09.2023

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No