

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.207

CR-4198-2002 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.09.2023

PARWATI

....Petitioner

Versus

DEV RAJ

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present:- None.

ARCHANA PURI, J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, as well as respondent, despite the case having been called twice.

Perusal of the paperbook reveals about the present revision petition to be filed against order of dismissal of the ejectment petition, passed by learned Rent Controller, which was further affirmed by learned Appellate authority.

Seemingly, the petitioner is not interested to pursue the present revision petition and as such, the same is dismissed for want of prosecution, with the revival clause, as and when anyone makes appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

13.09.2023

Himanshu

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No