

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

(112)

CWP-13014-2021

Date of decision: - 20.12.2023

Parveen Kumari

....Petitioner

Versus

CSIR Institute of Microbial Technology and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Ms. Roopse Sharma, Advocate  
for Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuing an appropriate writ, order or directions, especially a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 20.02.2020 (Annexure P-18) by which the ICMR-SRF fellowship of the petitioner has been terminated.
2. On 19.07.2021, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

*“The grievance of the petitioner is that her ICMAR-SRF fellowship has been terminated vide order dated 20.02.2020 without issuance of any show cause notice or grant of opportunity of hearing.*

*The contention is that the aforementioned order has been engineered by respondent No.2, the previous guide.*

*However, a perusal of para 17 of the writ petition shows that some police complaint was made by the petitioner whereupon a fact finding enquiry was done and a proposal of termination of fellowship of the petitioner was made. The impugned order was passed thereafter. None of these documents are on record. Even the terms and conditions of ICMAR-SRF fellowship have not been placed on record.*

*In the absence of these documents, no order can be passed on this writ petition.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for time to place on record certain documents.*

*Adjourned sine die and to be listed as and when an appropriation application is filed in the Registry of this Court.*

**July 19, 2021**

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)  
JUDGE”**

3. The above-said order has not been complied with till date.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh petition after complying with the order dated 19.07.2021.

4. In view of the above-said statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

5. It is made clear that in case any such petition is filed by the petitioner, the same would be considered independently, in accordance with law.

**December 20, 2023**  
naresh.k

**( VIKAS BAHL )  
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No