

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

139

2023:PHHC:158610
CR-1386-2022(O&M)
Date of decision: 12.12.2023

GURDEV SINGH

..Petitioner

Versus

JOGINDER SINGH AND ORS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Kanwar Pahul Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. On 30.10.2023, the following order was passed:-

“As per office report, notice issued to respondents No.1 and 6 has been received back with the report that respondent No.1 could not be served due to the incorrect address, whereas respondent No.6 is reported to have died. Respondents No.2 to 5 have not entered appearance despite the service of the notice.

On perusal of the file, it has been noticed that respondent No.1-Joginder Singh is defendant No.1 in the suit. He is represented by Shri D.S. Gill, Advocate. Respondent No.6 was defendant No.6 before the learned trial Court and was proceeded against ex parte.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the office is directed to issue fresh notice to respondent No.1 through his counsel in the trial Court for 29.11.2023.

Dasti only.”

2. As per the office report, no one has turned up to collect *dasti* notice.

3. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that despite his best efforts, the petitioner is not responding to his repeated intimations.

4. In view of the aforesaid fact, the revision petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

December 12th, 2023

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Ay

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No