

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRM-M-14834-2023

Date of decision: 23.03.2023

Amarjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to look into the complaint of the petitioner dated 08.02.2022 (Annexure P-7) and take legal action against respondents No.4 to 9 as per law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a complaint was given on 08.02.2022 (Annexure P-7) to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, however, respondent No.3 had failed to come to her rescue. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on her complaint.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, is directed to look into her grievance

and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.3 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the complaint dated 08.02.2022 (Annexure P-7), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*.

23.03.2023
Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No