

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-14659-2023

Date of Decision:-24.07.2023

Vipin Singhal.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Haryana & Anr.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Yashveer Kharb, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Varun Singh Dhanda, Advocate for respondent no.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.820 dated 23.07.2016 registered under Sections 120-B, 379, 380, 420, 448, 452, 465, 467, 468, 471, 504 and 506 IPC (Sections 379, 380, 448 and 452 IPC were deleted later on) at Police Station Chandni Bagh, District Panipat along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise dated 26.05.2022 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 24.03.2023 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 26.05.2022 (P-2) and the Illaqa Magistrate/ trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the orders dated 24.03.2023 passed by this Court,

the parties have appeared before the learned Additional Judicial Magistrate, Panipat and as per the report dated 19.05.2023 submitted to this court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543***”.

Further, the learned counsel for the petitioners, while placing reliance upon the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jayrajsinh Digvijaysinh Rana Versus State of Gujarat and another, 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 589*** and this Court in ***Joginder Singh & another Vs. State of Punjab and another, CRM-M-23739-2010 decided on 27.04.2011, Rajinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-M-37395-2016 decided on 16.05.2017, Bhoj Raj Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-24945-2019 decided on 27.09.2019 and Vimal Kalra & others Versus State of Punjab & another, CRM-M-20355-2022, decided on 25.07.2022*** submits that partial quashing of the FIR was possible on the basis of a compromise.

Keeping in view the law laid down by this Court in the aforementioned judgments and the report of the learned Additional Chief

Judicial Magistrate, Panipat, the FIR No.820 dated 23.07.2016 registered under Sections 120-B, 379, 380, 420, 448, 452, 465, 467, 468, 471, 504 and 506 IPC (Sections 379, 380, 448 and 452 IPC were deleted later on) at Police Station Chandni Bagh, District Panipat along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

July 24, 2023
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No