

232 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14783-2023
DECIDED ON: 28th MARCH, 2023

SANDEEP @ BACHHI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court under Section 439 Cr.P.C., has been invoked for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 187, dated 31.05.2020, under Section 379 IPC (Sections 379-A, 341 and 201 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Civil Line, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that in the present case after completion of investigation challan stands presented on 04.12.2020 and the case is at the initial stage. He further contends that the petitioner has already been acquitted by the trial Court vide order dated 22.04.2022 in FIR No. 622, dated 19.10.2020, under Sections 379 and 411 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station City Karnal. The petitioner is behind the bars since 06.11.2020.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the fact with regard to the period undergone by the petitioner so far and also the fact that the petitioner has

been acquitted by the trial Court vide order dated 22.04.2022 FIR No. 622, dated 19.10.2020, under Sections 379 and 411 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station City Karnal.

Considering the custody period undergone by the petitioner so far and the fact that after framing of charge the case is still at initial stage meaning thereby conclusion of trial shall take sufficient long time and nothing is to be recovered from the possession of the petitioner, this Court is of the considered view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars for an indefinite period.

The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The present petition is allowed in view of afore-said terms.

However, it is made clear that any observation made hereinabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

28th MARCH, 2023
sham

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*