

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6086 of 2023

Date of Decision:28.07.2023

Roop Chand

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Joy Preet Meelu, Advocate
Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Maanipur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

-. -

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J.

The present petition has been filed with the prayer that the statutory appeal, which was filed by the petitioner before respondent No.2 on 23.11.2022, has not been decided, which action of the respondents is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State submits that in case statutory appeal dated 23.11.2022, copy of which has been appended by the petitioner as Annexure P-3, has not been decided so far by respondent No.2, the same will be decided within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and the outcome of the same will be conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel further submits that in case no such appeal has been received by the department, an appropriate order in that regard will be passed.

Keeping in view the statement made by the learned State counsel, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

July 28, 2023

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No