

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(138)

CWP-6274-2023

Decided on : 27.03.2023

Anoop Seth

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Bank of India and another

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH**

Present: Mr. Sumeet Mahajan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Abhimanyu Walia, Advocate and
Mr. Saksham Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the respondent-Bank.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, Senior Counsel for the petitioner does not press the present writ petition on the ground that as per circular dated 01.07.2015 (Annexure P-7) under Clause 3 (c) there is a provision to approach the Review Committee. It is settled principle that in view of the law laid down in **United Bank of India Vs. Satyawati Tondon & others, (2010) 8 SCC 110**, once there is an alternative and efficacious remedy available, the Writ Court will not exercise its extra-ordinary jurisdiction.

Faced with this situation, Senior Counsel has submitted that he may be given liberty to approach the said Review Committee within a period of two weeks from today against the order dated 19.01.2023 (Annexure P-6) passed by respondent No.2, Will Defaulter Identification Committee-II (WDIC), State Bank of India.

We are sanguine that the Review Committee shall take a decision on merits after hearing the arguments which have now been raised and keeping in view the fact the petitioner has approached this Court firstly.

CWP-6274-2023

-2-

The writ petition stands disposed off with the aforesaid liberty.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

March 27, 2023
Naveen

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	
Whether Reportable :		No