

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

206

RSA-2834-1995 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.12.2023

Karnail Singh (deceased) through his LRs

.... Appellant

Versus

Shri Chand Singh (deceased) through his LRs and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. M.L. Saggar, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Armaan Saggar and Mr. Shivam Vashisth, Advocates
for the appellant.

Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate for the respondents.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

This is the defendant/appellant's second appeal against the concurrent findings returned by the learned trial Court vide judgment and decree dated 12.09.1992, decreeing the 'suit for specific performance of an agreement to sale dated 17.01.1986' filed by the plaintiff-respondents herein; and by the Ist Appellate Court, vide judgment and decree dated 19.05.1995 in Civil Appeal No. 5 dated 20.10.1992, whereby appeal preferred by the appellant was also dismissed.

At the outset, learned Senior Counsel for the appellant submits that the instant second appeal has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such, as the matter has been compromised between the parties.

Learned counsel for the respondents admits the factum of

compromise effected between the parties and submits that he has ‘no objection’, if the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

In view of the above noted position, the present appeal is disposed of as such, on account of compromise between the parties.

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

01.12.2023

rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No