

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

229

**COCp-929-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.09.2023**

Mannu Virk**...Petitioner**

Versus

Raj Kumar and another**...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:- Mr. Gurminder Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Gurnoor Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Indresh Goyal, Advocate
for the respondents.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 19.03.2020,
passed by this Court in CWP-7136-2020, which reads as under:

“Notice of motion, returnable on 21.5.2020.

According to the petitioner, he was selected for the
post of Chief of University Security pursuant to
Advertisement No. 2 of 2016 and without cancelling the
said selection, the respondent-University again notified
the post by altering the selection criteria. This aspect of
the matter requires further examination. There shall
accordingly be a direction to the respondent-University
not to finalize the selection, if any, to the post of Chief
of University Security pursuant to Advertisement No.
01/2020 till the next date of hearing.”

As per reply, filed on behalf of the respondents, it is
specifically stated that no appointment has been made and Security Officer

Vikram Singh has been stop gap arrangement of the post of Chief Security Officer, which is not the appointment on regular basis and it is just a stop gap arrangement.

In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

25.09.2023
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No