

120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-1869-2023

Date of Decision :11.04.2023

Ramesh Kumar Bansal and another ...Petitioners

versus

Pinky Sandhu @ Parminder Pal KaurRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Inder Pal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the instant revision petition is to order Annexure P-4 dated 09.02.2023 passed by the learned Civil Judge (Sr.Division), Chandigarh striking of the defence of petitioner Nos.1and 2 i.e. defendant Nos.1and 2 in Civil Suit No.CJ/1543/2022.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners states that he does not press the instant petition but prays for liberty to take out appropriate proceedings before the learned trial Court for modification of order dated 02.11.2022 recording the presence of counsel for the defendants as on said date, the Bar Association of the Distt. Court was on strike and no counsel was allowed to appear and none had appeared on said date on behalf of the petitioners-defendants as also for modification of order dated 09.02.2023 as none had put in appearance on behalf of the defendants as on 02.11.2022, counsel for the petitioners-defendants had wrongly noted the date as 14.02.2023 instead of 09.02.2023, therefore, none could appear

CR-1869-2023 [2]

the impugned order .

3. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for. Needless to mention in case any such application(s) are moved before the learned trial Court, the learned trial Court is requested to consider and decide the same in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

11.04.2023
ps

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No