

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212 CRWP-2784-2023
Date of Decision: 01.08.2023

Darshan Kaur and others Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Ms. Nisha Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Mitul Singh Rana, Advocate for respondents No. 4 and 5.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the official respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 10.

2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that as on date the threat is only from respondents No. 4 and 5.

3. However, counsel appearing for respondents No. 4 and 5 submits that the apprehension is misconceived and there is nothing to fear from them.

4. Given above, no order is required to be passed in the present petition and the same is disposed of. Liberty reserved to the petitioners to file a fresh representation to the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police/ Commissioner of Police, within fifteen days from today. If the petitioners file a representation within the above-mentioned time period of fifteen days, then, the same shall be decided by the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police/ Commissioner of Police, within two months. It is clarified that such order must be a reasoned order, and the same be communicated to the counsel as well as representationists without delay.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

01.08.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No