

2023:PHHC:076864

**202/2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14493-2023

Date of Decision: 26.05.2023

AMRIK SINGH

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. G.C.Shahpuri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

1. On 22.03.2023, the following order was passed:-

“Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.67 dated 02.03.2023 under Sections 323, 452, 506, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Chhappar, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the initial occurrence took place on 28.02.2023 at about 5 P.M. when the petitioner along with two others committed trespass in the shop of the complainant, inflicted injuries and caused damage to the shop. Subsequently, on the same date, at about 11 P.M., the petitioner along with Palwinder Singh and others committed trespass in the house and inflicted injuries upon Gurpreet Singh and Avtar Singh, the sons of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the injuries on the person of the injured are simple in nature. The petitioner is the brother of the complainant. There is dispute with regard to a joint land between the parties. The petitioner has instituted a civil suit against the complainant and the same is pending adjudication. The co-accused Palwinder Singh has been granted interim bail by this Court.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. AG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Adjourned to 26.05.2023.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and

surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
To be heard along with CRM-M-12344-2023.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Tarsem, has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect and further submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.
4. Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 22.03.2023, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.
5. Petition is allowed.

26.05.2023
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No