

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

122

CWP-15148-2021 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 07.02.2023.

GULZAR SINGH AND OTHERS

..Petitioners

VERSUS

GURUDWARA PRABHANDHAK COMMITTEE, BAULI SAHIB,
DALLA

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

PRESENT Mr. A. S. Khinda, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition had been filed against the order dated 28.09.2020 passed by the Commissioner, Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar in an appeal under Section 8 of the Punjab Religious Premises and Land (Eviction and Rent Recovery) Act, 1997.

A perusal of the order shows that the Commissioner, Jalandhar Division, had remanded the case to the Collector for a fresh decision in accordance with law after examining the facts urged by both the parties.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has strenuously argued that the demarcation report relied upon by the petitioners was wrong. A perusal of the order passed by the Commissioner, Jalandhar

Division, shows that the aforesaid plea had even been noticed by the Commissioner, Jalandhar Division and it was also noticed that the said issue would require fresh analysis of revenue record. The District Collector, Kapurthala, was accordingly directed to pass a fresh order as per law and facts after hearing both the parties.

The order being an order of remand and not prejudicing any right of the petitioners, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners does not press the instant petition, at this stage with liberty to take all his pleas before the Collector.

Disposed of as not pressed with liberties as aforesaid.

February 07, 2023.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : **Yes / No**
Whether reportable **:** **Yes / No**