

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.14454 of 2023
Date of decision: 5th May, 2023

Surjeet Singh
... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab
... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondents/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.19 dated 07.02.2023 under Sections 458, 323, 506, 427, 148, 149 IPC (Section 325 added later on) registered at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda.

Vide order dated 22.03.2023, the petitioner had been granted concession of interim bail in the following terms:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset submits that due to an inadvertent error he has failed to mention offence under Section 325 of the IPC in the head note and prayer clause along with other offences. He prays that offence under Section 325 of the IPC may be allowed to be added in the head note and prayer clause of the petition.

On his oral request, offence under Section 325 of the IPC is allowed to be added in the head note and prayer

clause of the petition and the Registry is directed to do the needful.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner was alleged to be armed with a baseball bat with which he inflicted an injury on the head of the complainant. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 22.03.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 22.03.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

May 5, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No