

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(241)

**2023:PHHC:098717
CWP-7585-2022
Date of Decision: 01.08.2023**

Annu Rani

--Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL.

Present:- Mr. Manoj Tanwar, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL.J (Oral)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to consider the petitioner for the post of female constable for HAP-DURGA-1 under EWS Category.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied, at this stage, in case the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to consider the representation, which the petitioner would be submitting, within a period of one week from today to the respondent, as expeditiously as possible and in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law.
3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that in case the petitioner moves a representation, the same would be considered by respondent as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent no.1 to consider the representation to be submitted by the petitioner, in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of submission of the same and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority would consider the case of the petitioners independently and in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

01.08.2023

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No