

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.: 116

**Civil Writ Petition No.5834 of 2023**

**Date of Decision: July 20, 2023**

Sandeep Kumari

..... PETITIONER(S)

*VERSUS*

State of Haryana and others

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

**CORAM:**      **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

. . .

**PRESENT:** -    Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

. . .

**Tribhuvan Dahiya, J (Oral)**

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *certiorari* for quashing the order dated 16/19.09.2022 (Annexure P-3), whereby the respondents have withdrawn their earlier order dated 06/29.09.2021 (Annexure P-2) vide which the certificate of Central Teacher Eligibility Test (CTET) was considered equivalent to State Teacher Eligibility Test (STET)/Haryana Teacher Eligibility Test (HTET) for all intents and purposes; and a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider the petitioners eligible for the post of TGT as per Advertisement No.2/2023 (Annexure P-4) by considering CTET equivalent to STET/HTET for all intents and purposes.

2.                Learned State counsel has placed reliance upon and it is not disputed at Bar that the issue raised in the instant petition already stands decided against the petitioner(s) by a co-ordinate Bench vide judgment dated

21.03.2023 passed in Civil Writ Petition No.5864 of 2023, titled *Annu Vs. State of Haryana and others*. The judgment holds that cut-off date for submission of application forms for the posts in question cannot be extended as it would result in disruption of entire educational schedule. The only exception can be when the cut-off date has been fixed arbitrarily or there is any technical defect in the process of submission of application forms. None of these situations arise in the instant petition, and the cut-off date, 20.03.2023, for submission of application forms in response to the advertisement has already passed.

3. Therefore, this petition stand disposed of being not maintainable in view of law laid down in *Annu* case (*supra*), leaving the issue of validity of the impugned notification dated 16/19.09.2022 open, to be adjudicated in appropriate proceedings.

**(Tribhuvan Dahiya)**  
**Judge**

**July 20, 2023**  
payal

Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:  
Whether Reportable:

Yes/ No  
Yes/ No