

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH  
(257A)**

**CRM-M-27283-2021  
Date of Decision:-30.01.2023**

**RISHI ARORA & OTHERS**

**.....Petitioners**

**Versus**

**STATE OF HARYANA & ANOTHER**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Deepam Raghava, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*                      \*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)**

This is a petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.0488, dated 02.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) registered under Section 174-A of IPC at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, District Gurugram which was registered in consequence to the order dated 01.08.2017 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Gurugram declaring the petitioner as a proclaimed person in a complaint case under Section 138 Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

The brief facts of the case are that a complaint under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act was instituted against the petitioner/accused at the instance of respondent No.2-M/s Felicia Realcon India Pvt. Ltd. The petitioners and respondent No.2 had business relations with each other. It was agreed between the petitioners and respondent No.2 to develop a project of a high-tech township which had been developed by

CRM-M-27283-2021

M/s UP Infraestate Pvt. Ltd. But there was some dispute between the petitioners and respondent No.2 in the year 2015 and some cheques were drawn in favour of respondent No.2 which were dishonoured leading to the summoning of the petitioners. As the petitioners/accused did not appear before the Trial Court to face trial, they were declared proclaimed persons as per the order dated 01.08.2017 pursuant to which an FIR No.0488, dated 02.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) registered under Section 174-A of IPC at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1) came to be instituted against them.

Subsequently, a compromise was effected between the parties and the complaint was ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn in terms of the order dated 24.02.2020 (Annexure P-3). In view of the dismissal of the complaint under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act on the basis of the compromise, the present petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR No.0488, dated 02.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) has been filed.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submit that they were never served in the said proceedings and they learnt about the said proceedings only after the police raided their house, after being declared as a proclaimed persons. On learning about the same, the petitioners compromised the matter with the complainant/respondent No.2. Thereafter, on 24.02.2020, the learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant in the Trial Court got recorded his statement that as per his instructions, the complainant did not want to proceed further with the present complaint and wanted to withdraw the same. Based on the said statement, the complaint was ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn on 24.02.2020 (P-3).

The learned State counsel has opposed the present petition and

has submitted that the FIR has been correctly registered.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties and has perused the paper-book.

From the above-said facts and circumstances, it is apparent that the present FIR was registered in view of the fact that the petitioner were declared as a proclaimed persons in the proceeding under the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. The impugned complaint itself has been withdrawn.

A co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **CRM-M-43813-2018** titled as “**Baldev Chand Bansal vs. State of Haryana and another**”, decided on 29.01.2019 has held as under:-

*“Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.64 dated 15.02.2017 filed under Section 174-A of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula and all other subsequent proceedings arising thereof as well as order dated 24.10.2016 passed by the trial Court vide which a direction was issued to register the aforesaid FIR.*

xxx xxx xxx

*Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the decisions rendered by this Court in “ **Vikas Sharma vs. Gurpreet Singh Kohli and another (supra)**, 2017, (3) L.A.R.584, **Microqual Techno Limited and others Vs. State of Haryana and another**, 2015 (32) RCR (Crl.) 790 and “**Rajneesh Khanna Vs. State of Haryana and another**” 2017(3) L.A.R. 555 wherein in an identical circumstance, this Court has held that since the main petition filed under Section*

*138 of the Act stands withdrawn in view of an amicable settlement between the parties, therefore, continuation of proceedings under Section 174A of IPC shall be nothing but an abuse of the process of law.*

xxx xxx xxx

*In view of the same, I find merit in the present petition and accordingly, present petition is allowed and the impugned order dated 24.10.2016 passed by Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Panchkula as well as FIR No.64 dated 15.02.2017 registered under Section 174-A of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula and all other subsequent proceedings arising thereof, are hereby quashed.”*

A perusal of the above judgment would show that in a similar case where the FIR had been registered under Section 174-A IPC in view of the order passed in proceedings under Section 138 of the Act, while declaring the petitioner therein as a proclaimed offender, a co-ordinate Bench after relying upon various judgments observed that once the main petition under Section 138 of the Act stands withdrawn in view of an amicable settlement between the parties, the continuation of proceedings under Section 174-A IPC is nothing but an abuse of the process of law. The said aspect was one of the main considerations for allowing the petition and setting aside the order declaring the petitioner therein as a proclaimed person as well as quashing of the FIR under Section 174-A IPC.

Another co-ordinate Bench of this Court in a case titled as **“Ashok Madan vs. State of Haryana and another”** reported as **2020(4) RCR (Criminal) 87** has also held as under:-

*“No doubt, the learned counsel for the respondent has vehemently argued that the offence under Section 174A I.P.C. is independent of the main case, therefore, merely because the main case has been dismissed for want of prosecution, the present petition cannot be allowed, however, keeping in view the fact that the present FIR was registered only on account of absence from the proceedings in the main case which had been subsequently regularised by the court while granting bail to the petitioner, the default stood condoned. In such circumstances, continuation of proceedings under Section 174A I.P.C. Shall be abuse of the process of court.*

*7. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. FIR No.446 dated 21.08.2017, registered under Section 174A I.P.C. At Police Station Kotwali, District Faridabad, as well as consequential proceedings shall stand quashed.”*

A perusal of the relevant extract of the above judgment would show that where the main case was dismissed for want of prosecution, it was observed that the continuation of proceedings under Section 174-A IPC shall be an abuse of the process of court. A similar view has been expressed by this Court in “Anil Kumar Versus Jitender Kumar and another, CRM-M-5878-2022 decided on 06.04.2022”, “Anil Kumar Versus Jitender Kumar and another, CRM-M-5755-2022 decided on 06.04.2022” and “Varinder Kumar @ Virender Kumar Versus State of Haryana and another, CRM-M-42551-2021 decided on 19.04.2022”.

CRM-M-27283-2021

In the present case the proceedings under the Negotiable Instruments Act have culminated in a settlement with the withdrawal of the complaint.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed and the FIR No.0488, dated 02.09.2018 (Annexure P-1) registered under Section 174-A of IPC at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, District Gurugram which was registered in consequent to the order dated 01.08.2017 passed by the Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Gurugram declaring the petitioners as proclaimed persons in a complaint case under Sections 138/141 Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 is hereby quashed subject to the petitioners depositing a sum of Rs.25,000/- as costs with the Chandi Kusht Ashram Society, Shiv Ram Mandir (Leprosy Colony), Sector 47, Opp. 3 BRD Gate, Chandigarh.

**30.01.2023**

*Jitesh*

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No