

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-14909-2022

Date of Decision: 06.02.2023

Ankit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Dr. Anju Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Gurdarshan Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

On 18.08.2022, the following order was passed:-

*“On 02.05.2022, this Court passed the following
order:-*

*“Prayer in the present petition is for grant
of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR
No.96 dated 03.03.2022, under Sections 354-A,
354-D, 341, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code,
registered at Police Station Sirsa Sadar, District
Sirsa.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in
this case. He further submits that the petitioner
has never been involved in any other criminal
case. He submits that out of the offences
mentioned in the FIR except Section 506 IPC, all
are bailable. He submits that no case for further
custodial interrogation against the petitioner is*

made out, however, he is ready to join investigation.

Let the State file status report before the next date of hearing.

List on 16.05.2022.

No coercive action shall be taken against the petitioner till the next date of hearing.”

Status report by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sirsa was filed on behalf of respondent-State, which was taken on record, vide order dated 16.05.2022.

By making a reference to para 3 of the affidavit, State counsel submits that factum of settlement has been verified. She further submits that victim has given an affidavit dated 26.04.2022, Annexure R-1, stating that she does not want to take any action against the petitioner.

List on 06.02.2023.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called by the Investigating Officer and in the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel submits that petitioner has already joined investigation and no custodial interrogation is required.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 18.08.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C

If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to threat/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted by this order.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case and Trial Court shall proceed without being prejudiced by observations of this Court.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

06.02.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>