

260

2023:PHHC:115881

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16292-2021

Date of decision: 4th September, 2023

Surender and another

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Petitioner(s)

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Anshul Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. The present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No. 380, dated 16th July, 2019, under Sections 323, 34 and 506 IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Meham, District Rohtak.
2. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that charges have been framed.
3. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioners is not pressing the present petition at this stage.
4. The petition is dismissed as not pressed.
5. Since the main case has been dismissed as not pressed, pending application if any is rendered infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]

JUDGE

4th September, 2023

Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes / No
2. Whether reportable : Yes / No