

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-14289-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.03.2023

SATNAM SINGH @ SONA

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sourabh Bedi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sullar, Sr. DAG Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in respect of FIR No.80 dated 27.09.2022, under Sections 21 and 29 (added later on) of NDPS Act, No.61 of 1985, registered at Police Station Arif Ke, District Ferozepur.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is in custody for the last about 6 months. The alleged recovery from him is 200 grams of Heroin, which is non-commercial in nature as per the Schedule of the NDPS Act. He is not involved in any other case. Charges have been framed in this case, however, none out of 14 witnesses have yet been examined.

Learned State counsel has produced the custody certificate dated 24.03.2023, which is taken on record, as per the same the custody of the petitioner is 5 months and 24 days. He opposes the bail on the ground that the contraband has been recovered from him, pursuant to his disclosure statement. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to the stage of trial, recovery

being of non-commercial in nature and he being not involved in any other case.

Heard.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 5 months and 24 days; recovery affected from him is non-commercial, therefore, rigors of Section 37 of NDPS Act are not attracted in the present case; he is not involved in any other case; charges have been framed, however, none out of 14 witnesses have yet been examined; the trial is likely to take a considerable time, her further detention behind bars would not serve any useful purpose, thus the present petition for grant of regular bail deserves to be allowed.

As a result, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned and subject to his not being required in any other case. The petitioner shall abide by the following conditions:-

1. The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
2. The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
3. The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
4. The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
5. The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
6. The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
7. The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number to the Trial Court forthwith and shall not change the same till the conclusion of the trial and in case for any reason, the petitioner seeks to change any of the aforesaid, the same shall be done only with prior intimation to the learned Trial Court, stating the reason for the same.

8. The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, with the Trial Court forthwith and in case, he does not have the passport, he shall furnish a specific affidavit in this regard.

It is made clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions and in case the petitioner commits similar offence in future, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

In view of the above, this Court makes it clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

March 24, 2023

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No